# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

### See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER					
Department of Fish and Game	Debbie Noriega	916-928-5817					
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
ALDS and Commercial Fishing Applications Z							
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT							
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)							
A. ESTIMATED FINANTE SECTOR COST IMFACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the fulcinaring fection)							
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:							
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees		e. Imposes reporting requirements					
b. Impacts small businesses	Imposes prescriptive instead of performance						
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts California competitiveness	<b>√</b>	None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)					
h. (cont.) No significant Impacts are anticipated.							
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked	d, complete this Economic Impact State	ement.)					
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Describe the types of	businesses (Include nonprofits.):					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesse	s impacted that are small businesses:						
		nated:					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Titled.					
Explain:		•					
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: Statewide Local or regional (List areas.):							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or eliminated: Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:							
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?							
Yes No If yes, expl	ain briefly:						
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)							
What are the total statewide dollar costs that busine	ŕ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing cost	s: \$ Years:					
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing cost	s: \$ Years:					
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing cost	s: \$ Years:					
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur.							

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to compty with these requirements. (Include the dol costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$  4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	iry:	2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total co
number of units:  5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? Yes No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:  Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)		
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the	
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of:		regulationer
1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)		
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	fically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	D. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of bo
Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	benefit:	. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regul
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)		Explain:
		O. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculation
	onsidered, explain why not:	The second secon
Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:	alternative considered:	. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this re
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	Cost: \$	<del>-</del>
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$		
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	<del></del>	
<ol> <li>Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or</li> </ol>	alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or	. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance
	en e	
Explain:		Explain:
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices, and departments are subject		The state of the s

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will t	he estim	ated costs of this regulation to Calif	fornia business enterprises excee	ed \$10 million ?	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
2. Brie	fly descri	be each equally as an effective alte	ernative, or combination of alterna	atives, for which a cost-effectiveness	analysis was performed:
Alte	rnative 1:				
Alte	mative 2				
3. For t	he regula	ation, and each alternative just desc	cribed, enter the estimated total c	ost and overall cost-effectiveness rat	ia:
Reg	ulation:	\$	·······	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alte	rnative 1:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alte	rnative 2:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
			FISCAL IMPACT	STATEMENT	
		ECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (bsequent Fiscal Years.)	Indicate appropriate boxes1 thro	ugh 6 and attach calculations and as	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
				ent State Fiscal Year which are reiml t seq. of the Government Code. Fund	
	a.	is provided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
	b.	will be requested in the	(FISCAL YEAR)	or's Budget for appropriation in Budge	et Act of
	Section 6	al expenditures of approximately \$ 6 of Article XIII B of the California C implements the Federal mandate	onstitution and Sections 17500 e	ent State Fiscal Year which are not re t seq. of the Government Code becar	
	<u> </u>	implements the court mandate set	forth by the		
		court in the case of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>v</b> s	
	c.		ple of this State expressed in the	ir approval of Proposition No.	
		election;			(DATE)
	d.	is issued only in response to a spe	cific request from the		
				, which is/ar	re the only local entity(s) affected;
	e.	will be fully financed from the		EES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
	···	**************************************	of the	PRODUNCATION	Code;
	f.	provides for savings to each affect	ted unit of local government whic	h will, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each such unit;
	g.	creates, eliminates, or changes th	e penalty for a new crime or infra	ction contained in	
3.	Savings	s of approximately \$	annually.		
П,	N/4-3	:A'1	a sanutation makaa aaku taabaisa	, L non cubatantivo or algrifying aband	on to current law regulations

### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.					
6. Other.					
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current				
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is a	inticipated that State agencies will:				
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal y	ear.				
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.					
4. Other.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.					
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.					
4. Other.					
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE				
I Shuri Imbuena	April 19, 2010				
AGENCY SECRETARY 1	DATE				
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE Jonk hischen	23 April 2010				
PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE	DATE				
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE					

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
ranking official in the organization.

<sup>2.</sup> Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.